

California Pest Rating Proposal for

Citrus leprosis virus N Leprosis of citrus

Current Pest Rating: none

Proposed Pest Rating: A

Realm: Riboviria; Kingdom: Orthornavirae;
Phylum: Negarnaviricota; Class: Monjiviricetes;
Order: Mononegavirales; Family: Rhabdoviridae;
Genus: Dichorhavirus

Comment Period: 10/05/2021 through 11/19/2021

Initiating Event:

This pathogen has not been through the pest rating process. The risk to California from Citrus leprosis virus N (CiLV-N) is described herein and a permanent pest rating is proposed.

History & Status:

Background: Citrus leprosis syndrome is a damaging disease that is currently found in Mexico, South and Central America, and South Africa. It is caused by a heterogenic group of RNA viruses endemic to the Western Hemisphere (Roy et al., 2015). Five well-defined viruses are currently known to be associated with citrus leprosis. Leprosis can reduce citrus yields and fruit quality, cause premature fruit drop, and in some instances kill trees. Leprosis is spread by tenuipalpid flat mites, mainly *Brevipalpus californicus*. Although citrus leprosis has not been detected in California, the mites are already common and widespread in California (CDFA PDR database).

Recent studies have shown that multiple virus species in the genera *Dichorhavirus*, *Higrevirus*, and *Cilevirus* cause citrus leprosis symptoms. There are two types of leprosis: C-type (caused by the genera *Cilevirus* and *Higrevirus*, which are positive-sense RNA viruses) and N-type (caused by the genus *Dichorhavirus*, which are negative-sense RNA viruses). The types refer to the part of the plant cell where the virus is found; C-type is in the cytoplasm, and N-type is in the nucleus. The C-type is considered more aggressive and is more widespread than the N-type (Bastianel et al., 2010). Most of the leprosis reports confirmed by sequencing or reverse-transcription PCR are of the type C and are caused by cileviruses. CiLV-N has only been detected in restricted areas in Mexico, Colombia, and

South Africa. Incidence of CiLV-N was also reported a few decades ago in some cool-weather localities in Brazil and at higher elevations in Panama, although these diagnoses were only based on the morphology of the observed virions and the apparent cytopathic effects in transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses, not on sequencing (Freitas-Astúa et al., 2004).

In the 1860s, leprosis was found in Florida and citrus production was drastically reduced. By the 1950s it was reported only on the east coast of Florida and in small isolated areas. It is unclear why the disease disappeared from Florida, but it could have been from increased use of miticides and cold winter temperatures causing a decline in mites, resulting in reduced inoculum and transmission (Childers et al., 2003). Leprosis has not been reported in Florida since 1968. Based on the viral sequence of a sample collected in Florida in 1948, the virus was likely CiLV-N (Roy et al., 2020; Hartung et al., 2015).

Roy et al., in 2013, studied the genome assembly of CiLV-N and described its close resemblance with Orchid fleck virus. Peng et al., 2013, studying particle morphology and cytopathic effects, showed that CiLV-N and Coffee ringspot virus both closely resemble Orchid fleck virus and proposed that they all belong to the same genus, *Dichorhavirus*. Orchid fleck virus became the prototype member and type species for this new genus. It has non-enveloped, bullet-shaped, bacilliform particles and filamentous particles. The filamentous particles have a tightly coiled structure or a coiled structure with a helical twist (Kondo et al., 2009). Orchid fleck virus has been observed and reported in many parts of the world, including in orchid nursery stock in California, and causes chlorotic or necrotic flecks in plant hosts. Orchid fleck also causes leprosis symptoms on citrus in Hawai'i (Velarde et al., 2021).

Citrus leprosis is a nonsystemic viral disease of *Citrus* spp. that expresses as localized lesions on the leaves, fruit, stems, or twigs. Currently there are no known systemic plant hosts for leprosis. The lack of a natural systemic plant host is atypical for plant viruses, raising the question of whether these two completely unrelated virus groups are actually mite viruses that have convergently evolved a unique approach for mite-to-mite transmission using plants (Kitajima and Alberti; 2014; Roy et al., 2015).

Hosts: Field infection of seven citrus species: *Citrus × paradisi* (grapefruit), *C. limon* (lemon), *C. × aurantifolia* (lime), *C. reticulata* (mandarin), *C. aurantium* (sour orange), *C. limetta* (sweet lime), and *C. sinensis* (sweet orange) by CiLV-N, have been reported in Mexico (Cruz-Jaramillo et al., 2014; Roy et al., 2015). Given that diagnostics are continually improving, any information on host range should be considered as incomplete.

Symptoms: Chlorotic lesions on leaves, often with necrotic centers and yellow haloes, and necrotic ring spots with a central depression on fruit and necrotic lesions on twigs are characteristic symptoms of leprosis. When infestations of viruliferous mites are not controlled, lesions in the petiole cause severe defoliation, whereas lesions in the peduncle promote intense fruit drop, and coalesced necrotic lesions induce the death of the twigs. In the absence of mite control, plants may die within three to five years.

No significant differences between fruit and stem lesions caused by CiLV-C, and -N have been observed but minor differences in foliar lesions have been noticed. Foliar lesions caused by the cytoplasmic CiLVs are larger in size than those caused by the nuclear CiLVs. Lesions caused by CiLV-C tended to have a

pale green color, with one or more concentric gummy rings, whereas lesions of CiLV-N tend to have dark centers surrounded by intermediate orange haloes and outer, bright-yellow haloes. However, no specific lesion type is produced by CiLV-N. Symptoms varied widely depending on host. (Roy et al., 2015).

Transmission: Leprosis does not seem to be able to move systemically in the host plant. Movement in latently infected planting material is not likely to be a major pathway because of its non-systemic infection. The main means of movement and dispersal of the virus is via the vector mites of the genus *Brevipalpus*, which colonize most species of *Citrus* and many other plant species (Hartung and Leon, 2020).

Damage Potential: Citrus leprosis syndrome is an economically important disease of citrus in South and Central America and Mexico. Losses incurred due to citrus leprosis are related to leaf and fruit drop and the reduction in market value of symptomatic fruit. Leprosis is considered the viral disease of citrus with the greatest economic impact in Brazil, partially due to the cost of mite control (Bastianel et al., 2010), with yield reduction in the infected orchards and the costs to prevent or manage infection foci. Citrus leprosis is a disease of quarantine significance to California.

Worldwide Distribution: Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, Panama, South Africa (Bastianel et al., 2010; Cook et al., 2019).

Official Control: Citrus leprosis virus is on the EPPO's A1 list for Bahrain, the European Plant Protection Organization, the European Union, Jordan, and Turkey. It is a quarantine pest for Israel, Mexico, Morocco, and Tunisia, a regulated, non-quarantine pest in Egypt, and on the alert list for the North American Plant Protection Organization (Hartung and Leon, 2020). It is on the Harmful Organisms list for Albania, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Georgia, Guatemala, Honduras, Israel, Japan, Republic of Korea, Mexico, Morocco, Nicaragua, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Peru, Taiwan, Thailand, and United Arab Emirates (USDA PCIT, 2021). The USDA maintains Federal Foreign Quarantines against citrus nursery stock (319.19) and citrus fruits (319.28) for citrus canker and other diseases. CDFA has a State Exterior Quarantine against citrus pests, and this includes any plant disease pest of citrus that does not occur or is not generally established in California (https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/countyag/postings/files/301_9.pdf).

California Distribution: None

California Interceptions: None

The risk Citrus leprosis virus N would pose to California is evaluated below.

Consequences of Introduction:

- 1) Climate/Host Interaction:** The mite vector of leprosis is already widespread in California. The virus is likely to survive wherever its hosts can be grown, which is limited to the warmer parts of the state that currently have citrus.
-

Evaluate if the pest would have suitable hosts and climate to establish in California.

Score: 2

- Low (1) Not likely to establish in California; or likely to establish in very limited areas.
- **Medium (2) may be able to establish in a larger but limited part of California.**
- High (3) likely to establish a widespread distribution in California.

- 2) Known Pest Host Range:** The host range is limited to *Citrus* spp. There are many other hosts that can harbor viruliferous mites.

Evaluate the host range of the pest.

Score: 2

- Low (1) has a very limited host range.
- **Medium (2) has a moderate host range.**
- High (3) has a wide host range.

- 3) Pest Reproductive Potential:** The virus is not systemic in its plant hosts but can be persistent in the mite vectors. It is not readily spread by mechanical transmission. Mite feeding causes localized, non-systemic infections in citrus and other hosts. Disease incidence and severity is highly correlated with feeding from viruliferous mites.

Evaluate the natural and artificial dispersal potential of the pest.

Score: 3

- Low (1) does not have high reproductive or dispersal potential.
- Medium (2) has either high reproductive or dispersal potential.
- **High (3) has both high reproduction and dispersal potential.**

- 4) Economic Impact:** Citrus leprosis is a disease of quarantine importance, posing a threat to the citrus industry in California. Trees can be killed because of expanding lesions that girdle tree limbs and cause leaf and fruit drop as well as unmarketable fruit. Premature fruit drop results in greatly reduced yields. Mites must be continually controlled to prevent disease spread, and this is mainly done with pesticides.

Evaluate the economic impact of the pest to California using the criteria below.

Economic Impact: A, B, C, D, E

- A. The pest could lower crop yield.**
 - B. The pest could lower crop value (includes increasing crop production costs).**
 - C. The pest could trigger the loss of markets (includes quarantines).**
 - D. The pest could negatively change normal cultural practices.**
 - E. The pest can vector, or is vectored, by another pestiferous organism.**
-

- F. The organism is injurious or poisonous to agriculturally important animals.
- G. The organism can interfere with the delivery or supply of water for agricultural uses.

Economic Impact Score: 3

- Low (1) causes 0 or 1 of these impacts.
- Medium (2) causes 2 of these impacts.
- **High (3) causes 3 or more of these impacts.**

- 5) Environmental Impact:** As this pathogen is under Federal regulation. Any detection would have a significant regulatory response, likely involving miticides and eradication of infected hosts, regardless if the detection is in commercial or residential orchards

Evaluate the environmental impact of the pest to California using the criteria below

Environmental Impact: D, E

- A. The pest could have a significant environmental impact such as lowering biodiversity, disrupting natural communities, or changing ecosystem processes.
- B. The pest could directly affect threatened or endangered species.
- C. The pest could impact threatened or endangered species by disrupting critical habitats.
- D. The pest could trigger additional official or private treatment programs.**
- E. The pest significantly impacts cultural practices, home/urban gardening or ornamental plantings.**

Environmental Impact Score: 3

- Low (1) causes none of the above to occur.
- Medium (2) causes one of the above to occur.
- **High (3) causes two or more of the above to occur.**

Consequences of Introduction to California for Citrus leprosis virus N: High

Add up the total score and include it here. **13**

- Low = 5-8 points
- Medium = 9-12 points
- High = 13-15 points**

- 6) Post Entry Distribution and Survey Information:** Evaluate the known distribution in California. Only official records identified by a taxonomic expert and supported by voucher specimens deposited in natural history collections should be considered. Pest incursions that have been eradicated, are under eradication, or have been delimited with no further detections should not be included.

Evaluation is 'not established'.

Score: 0

-Not established (0) Pest never detected in California or known only from incursions.

-Low (-1) Pest has a localized distribution in California or is established in one suitable climate/host area (region).

-Medium (-2) Pest is widespread in California but not fully established in the endangered area, or pest established in two contiguous suitable climate/host areas.

-High (-3) Pest has fully established in the endangered area, or pest is reported in more than two contiguous or non-contiguous suitable climate/host areas.

7) The final score is the consequences of introduction score minus the post entry distribution and survey information score: (Score)

Final Score: Score of Consequences of Introduction – Score of Post Entry Distribution and Survey Information = 13

Uncertainty:

Increased understanding of the diversity of viruses causing leprosis disease may lead to a reevaluation of the nomenclature.

Conclusion and Rating Justification:

Based on the evidence provided above the proposed rating for Citrus leprosis virus N is A.

References:

Bastianel, M., Novelli, V. M., Kitajima, E. W., Kubo, K. S., Bassanezi, R. B., Machado, M. A., and Freitas-Astúa, J. 2010. Citrus Leprosis: Centennial of an unusual mite–virus pathosystem. *Plant Dis.* 94:284-292.

CABI CPC. 2021. Citrus leprosis N dichorhavirus datasheet. <https://www.cabi.org/cpc/datasheet/88562658>

Cook, G., Kirkman, W., Clase, R., Steyn, C., Basson, E., Fourie, P.H., Moore, S.D., Grout, T.G., Carstens, E. and Hattingh, V., 2019. Orchid fleck virus associated with the first case of citrus leprosis-N in South Africa. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 155(4), pp.1373-1379.

Cruz-Jaramillo, J. L., Ruiz-Medrano, R., Rojas-Morales, L., Lopez-Buenfil, J. A., Morales-Galvan, O., Chavarin-Palacio, C., Ramirez-Pool, J. A., and Xoconostle-Cazares, B. 2014. Characterization of a proposed dichorhavirus associated with the citrus leprosis disease and analysis of the host response. *Viruses* 6:2602-2622.

EPPO Global Database. 2021. Citrus leprosis virus sensu lato (CILV00). <https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/CILV00> Accessed 8/17/21

Freitas-Astúa, J., Kitajima, E., and Bastianel, M. 2004. Identification of Citrus leprosis virus-nuclear type (CiLV-N) in sweet orange in the State of Rio Grande do Sul. *Virus Rev. Res.* 9:247.

- Hartung, J. S., Roy, A., Fu, S., Shao, J., Schneider, W. L., and Brlansky, R. H. 2015. History and diversity of citrus leprosis virus recorded in herbarium specimens. *Phytopathology* 105:1277-1284.
- Hartung, J. S., and Guillermo León, M., 2020. Citrus leprosis virus C (leprosis of citrus). *Invasive Species Compendium*. Wallingford, UK: CABI. DOI:10.1079/ISC.13449.20210200730
- Kitajima, E. W., Novelli, V. M., and Alberti, G. 2014. Anatomy and fine structure of Brevipalpus mites (Tenuipalpidae) – economically important plant-virus vectors – Part 1: An update on the biology and economic importance of Brevipalpus mites. Pages 1 to 10 in: *Anatomy and Fine Structure of Brevipalpus Mites (Tenuipalpidae) – Economically Important Plant-Virus Vectors*. G. Alberti and E. W. Kitajima, eds. *Zoologica* 160:1-192. Google Scholar
- Kondo, H., Maeda, T. and Tamada, T., 2009. Identification and characterization of structural proteins of orchid fleck virus. *Archives of virology*, 154(1), pp.37-45.
- Ramos-González, P.L., Chabi-Jesus, C., Guerra-Peraza, O., Tassi, A.D., Kitajima, E.W., Harakava, R., Salaroli, R.B. and Freitas-Astúa, J., 2017. Citrus leprosis virus N: a new dichorhavirus causing citrus leprosis disease. *Phytopathology*, 107(8), pp.963-976.
- Roy, A., Stone, A., Otero-Colina, G., Wei, G., Choudhary, N., Achor, D., Shao, J., Levy, L., Nakhla, M.K., Hollingsworth, C.R. and Hartung, J.S., 2013. Genome assembly of citrus leprosis virus nuclear type reveals a close association with orchid fleck virus. *Genome announcements*, 1(4).
- Roy, A., Stone, A.L., Shao, J., Otero-Colina, G., Wei, G., Choudhary, N., Achor, D., Levy, L., Nakhla, M.K., Hartung, J.S. and Schneider, W.L., 2015. Identification and molecular characterization of nuclear Citrus leprosis virus, a member of the proposed *Dichorhavirus* genus infecting multiple Citrus species in Mexico. *Phytopathology*, 105(4), pp.564-575.
- Roy, A., Hartung, J. S., Schneider, W. L., Shao, J., Leon, M. G., Melzer, M. J., Beard, J. J., Otero-Colina, G., Bauchan, G. R., Ochoa, R., and Brlansky, R. H. 2015. Role bending: Complex relationships between viruses, hosts, and vectors related to citrus leprosis, an emerging disease.
- USDA Phytosanitary Certificate Issuance and Tracking System, Phytosanitary Export Database (PEXD) Harmful Organisms Database Report. Citrus leprosis virus. Accessed 8/17/2021
- Velarde, A. O., Roy, A., Padmanabhan, C., Nunziata, S., Nakhla, M.K. and Melzer, M., 2021. First report of orchid fleck virus associated with citrus leprosis symptoms in rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri*) and mandarin (*C. reticulata*) the United States. *Plant Disease*.

Responsible Party:

Heather J. Scheck, Primary Plant Pathologist/Nematologist, CDFA/PHPPS ECOPERS, 1220 N St Rm 221, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 654-1017, [permits\[@\]cdfa.ca.gov](mailto:permits[@]cdfa.ca.gov).

***Comment Period: 10/05/2021 through 11/19/2021**

***NOTE:**

You must be registered and logged in to post a comment. If you have registered and have not received the registration confirmation, please contact us at [permits\[@\]cdfa.ca.gov](mailto:permits[@]cdfa.ca.gov).

Comment Format:

- ❖ Comments should refer to the appropriate California Pest Rating Proposal Form subsection(s) being commented on, as shown below.

Example Comment:

Consequences of Introduction: 1. Climate/Host Interaction: [Your comment that relates to “Climate/Host Interaction” here.]

- ❖ Posted comments will not be able to be viewed immediately.
 - ❖ Comments may not be posted if they:
 - Contain inappropriate language which is not germane to the pest rating proposal;
 - Contains defamatory, false, inaccurate, abusive, obscene, pornographic, sexually oriented, threatening, racially offensive, discriminatory or illegal material;
 - Violates agency regulations prohibiting sexual harassment or other forms of discrimination;
 - Violates agency regulations prohibiting workplace violence, including threats.
 - ❖ Comments may be edited prior to posting to ensure they are entirely germane.
 - ❖ Posted comments shall be those which have been approved in content and posted to the website to be viewed, not just submitted.
-

Proposed Pest Rating: A
